

## How representational are California Community College District Dual Enrollment programs for Black students?

Community College District (CCD)	What percentage of high school students in this CCD region are Black?	What percentage of Dual Enrollment students in this CCD are Black?	Dual Enrollment Participation Score	Equity Rating	How many additional students are needed to reach full representation for Black students?
Allan Hancock	4.5%	0.7%	16.3%	Low Representation	83
Antelope Valley	14.8%	9.7%	65.7%	Low Representation	36
Barstow	4.8%	5.1%	100%	High Representation	*
Butte-Glenn	5.2%	0.9%	17.5%	Low Representation	21
Cabrillo	2.1%	0.3%	13.6%	Low Representation	12
Cerritos	7.7%	2.9%	37.7%	Low Representation	6
Chabot-Las Positas	6.3%	4.3%	68.3%	Low Representation	12
Chaffey	6.3%	5.9%	93.5%	High Representation	3
Citrus	1.9%	2.4%	100%	High Representation	*
Coast	7.7%	0.5%	7.1%	Low Representation	96
Compton	7.8%	7.4%	94.9%	High Representation	5
Contra Costa	7.1%	7.7%	100%	High Representation	*
Copper Mountain	2.0%	6.9%	100%	High Representation	*
Desert	1.1%	1.8%	100%	High Representation	*
El Camino	6.8%	12.6%	100%	High Representation	*
Feather River	6.5%	0.0%	0%	Low Representation	4
Foothill-DeAnza	0.0%	1.3%	0%	Low Representation	*
Gavilan	7.4%	1.2%	15.9%	Low Representation	29
Glendale	1.4%	0.5%	39.9%	Low Representation	7
Grossmont-Cuyamaca		8.8%			*
Hartnell	0.7%	0.5%	73.6%	Moderate Representation	2
Imperial	5.2%	0.9%	17.3%	Low Representation	5
Kern	7.0%	1.5%	21.9%	Low Representation	368
Lake Tahoe		0.0%			1
Lassen	6.0%	0.0%	0%	Low Representation	3
Long Beach	3.6%	8.9%	100%	High Representation	*
Los Angeles	3.0%	5.1%	100%	High Representation	*
Los Rios	3.7%	6.7%	100%	High Representation	*
Marin	2.0%	2.0%	95.5%	High Representation	*
Mendocino-Lake	3.7%	1.4%	38.6%	Low Representation	17
Merced	2.0%	1.6%	80.7%	Moderate Representation	3
MiraCosta	2.4%	4.1%	100%	High Representation	*
Monterey Peninsula	0.7%	2.3%	100%	High Representation	*
Mt. San Antonio	8.6%	1.5%	17.9%	Low Representation	72
Mt. San Jacinto	4.2%	2.2%	52.4%	Low Representation	9
Napa Valley	5.4%	1.0%	18.6%	Low Representation	8
North Orange County	1.9%	1.4%	75.4%	Moderate Representation	9

Ohlone	0.8%	1.3%	100%	High Representation	*
Palo Verde	1.8%	4.1%	100%	High Representation	*
Palomar	1.8%	1.5%	83.5%	Moderate Representation	5
Pasadena Area	1.2%	9.9%	100%	High Representation	*
Peralta	6.9%	15.1%	100%	High Representation	*
Rancho Santiago	1.1%	0.6%	56.3%	Low Representation	11
Redwoods	2.2%	1.2%	54.8%	Low Representation	6
Rio Hondo	3.6%	0.4%	12.2%	Low Representation	35
Riverside	6.9%	3.8%	55.1%	Low Representation	71
San Bernardino	6.7%	4.6%	69.4%	Low Representation	18
San Diego	0.8%	5.4%	100%	High Representation	*
San Francisco	1.7%	5.3%	100%	High Representation	*
San Joaquin Delta	2.3%	4.3%	100%	High Representation	*
San Jose-Evergreen	5.3%	1.2%	22.1%	Low Representation	54
San Luis Obispo County	3.3%	0.7%	21.9%	Low Representation	78
San Mateo County	3.0%	1.7%	54.9%	Low Representation	22
Santa Barbara	2.8%	0.5%	19.2%	Low Representation	12
Santa Clarita		3.4%			*
Santa Monica		3.7%			*
Sequoias	7.2%	1.1%	14.5%	Low Representation	87
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity	6.8%	0.8%	12.2%	Low Representation	165
Sierra Joint	3.5%	1.2%	34.4%	Low Representation	35
Siskiyou Joint	2.2%	2.5%	100%	High Representation	*
Solano	10.2%	8.5%	83.0%	Moderate Representation	15
Sonoma County	4.1%	1.3%	31.6%	Low Representation	39
South Orange County	4.7%	1.4%	29.1%	Low Representation	59
Southwestern	6.0%	1.7%	28.5%	Low Representation	47
State Center	3.9%	1.5%	37.9%	Low Representation	93
Ventura County	7.2%	1.2%	17.1%	Low Representation	123
Victor Valley	2.8%	8.1%	100%	High Representation	*
West Hills	6.3%	0.8%	12.8%	Low Representation	95
West Kern		4.9%			*
West Valley-Mission	2.1%	1.9%	90.1%	High Representation	2
Yosemite	7.2%	1.3%	18.4%	Low Representation	56
Yuba	2.6%	0.7%	25.9%	Low Representation	18

Districts with blanks in columns 2, 4, or 5 were not assigned a score or parity level because they have <11 students in the CDE data set. We still include the number of additional students needed to reach parity to provide helpful information for goal setting and advocacy.

The dual enrollment participation score is displayed as 100% if the percentage of dual enrollment students is equal to or greater than the percentage of high school students in that group.

\*We estimate the district has achieved parity for this racial/ethnic group, but we encourage local leaders to consider additional opportunities to expand dual enrollment equity in alignment with our report recommendations.

