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About 2015 NAEP Results

ABOUT THE TEST
The National Assessment of Educational Progress is a test that monitors how student achievement changes over time and across states. NAEP has been administered in states since 1990, though not all 50 states began to participate consistently in NAEP until 2003.

ABOUT THESE SLIDES
• Years of data availability vary by data point.
• Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
• In certain years, NAEP reported two sets of scores—one for tests with accommodations, and another score for tests without accommodations. In those cases, we used the scores for the tests administered with accommodations.
• For national comparisons, we use NAEP’s sample of national public schools. We do so for two reasons:
  1. Our work is focused on public education, and
  2. National public schools provide the best comparison for state and district scores, which only include public schools.
Context and Key Insights

CALIFORNIA IN COMPARISON TO NATIONAL LANDSCAPE

California continues to perform near the bottom. Across the country, California ranked:

- 49th in 4th grade reading
- 44th in 8th grade reading
- 48th in 4th grade math
- 41st in 8th grade math

However, California has made significant gains.

- Across all subjects, the percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency has increased from 2000 to 2015. California’s greatest gains have been in 4th grade math: from 2000 to 2015, students meeting or exceeding proficiency increased from 13 to 39 percent.
- Since 2003, California has been the fastest-improving state for students overall in 8th grade reading.
Context and Key Insights

CALIFORNIA RESULTS BY RACE

While Black-White and Latino-White gaps have narrowed over time, they are not closing fast enough.

• California made the greatest improvement in 4th grade math.
  • From 1992 to 2015, the Black-White gap narrowed by 8 points, and the Latino-White gap narrowed by 6 points.
  • This trend is consistent with the nation, where students of color are making larger gains than White students.
• However, significant gaps remain across all grades and all subjects. The Black-White gaps vary between 23 to 33 points and the Latino-White gaps vary between 24 to 30 points.
Context and Key Insights

CALIFORNIA RESULTS BY INCOME STATUS

California has made some progress in narrowing the income gap, but a sizable gap still remains.

• In 8\textsuperscript{th} grade reading, performance for low-income students rose by 11 points from 2003 to 2015 – behind only Arizona.

• However, the income gap in 4\textsuperscript{th} grade reading has stayed stagnant at 28 points between 1996 and 2015. Compared to the nation, income gaps in 4\textsuperscript{th} grade are slightly larger than those nationwide.
Context and Key Insights

CALIFORNIA RESULTS BY ENGLISH LEARNER STATUS

The gap between English learners and non-English learners has consistently widened across reading and math in 4th and 8th grades.

• The gap widened the most in 8th grade reading, from 32 points in 1998 to 51 points in 2015—a gap of 19 points.
• Across other tests, the gap increased 6 to 8 points over the period that data is available.
Context and Key Insights

CALIFORNIA RESULTS BY DISTRICT

In addition to statewide results, NAEP produces results for selected urban public districts across the country through the Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) program. Within California, NAEP results are available for Fresno Unified School District, Los Angeles Unified School District, and San Diego Unified School District. Of the 21 districts across the country participating in NAEP:

Fresno is consistently among the lowest-performing districts across all grades and subjects. For African American and Latino students, Fresno has the lowest 8th grade math performance of any participating district.

Los Angeles and San Diego are among the faster-improving districts in 8th grade reading and math. In 8th grade reading, Los Angeles’ low-income students have improved by 17 points since 2003 – the largest increase of any participating district. In 8th grade math, Los Angeles is also the fastest-improving district for African Americans across the country.
Results: California in Comparison to National Landscape

For national comparisons, we use NAEP’s sample of national public schools. We do so for two reasons:

1. Our work is focused on public education, and
2. National public schools provide the best comparison for state and district scores, which only include public schools.
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Proficiency on NAEP 4th Grade Reading, California vs. National

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>National (Public)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Assessment of Educational Progress. California 2000 data was significantly different ($p < .05$) from state’s results in 2015. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
Proficiency on NAEP 8\textsuperscript{th} Grade Reading, California vs. National

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>National (Public)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>37/42/20/1</td>
<td>28/43/26/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>28/43/26/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>30/42/26/3</td>
<td>25/42/29/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent below Basic or at Basic | Percent at Proficiency or Advanced

Source: National Assessment of Educational Progress. California 2000 data was significantly different ($p < .05$) from state’s results in 2015. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
Proficiency on NAEP 4th Grade Math, California vs. National

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Below Basic</th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 (National)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Assessment of Educational Progress. California 2000 data was significantly different (p < .05) from state’s results in 2015. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
Proficiency on NAEP 8th Grade Math, California vs. National

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below Basic</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proficient</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Assessment of Educational Progress. California 2000 data was significantly different ($p < .05$) from state’s results in 2015. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
Results: California Achievement by Race
California Achievement on NAEP
4th Grade Reading, by Race

Proficiency Cut Point: 238

1992
Black-White Gap: 36
Latino-White Gap: 37

2015
Black-White Gap: 33
Latino-White Gap: 31

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
California Achievement on NAEP 8th Grade Reading, by Race

Proficiency Cut Point: 281

1998
- Black-White Gap: 30
- Latino-White Gap: 30

2015
- Black-White Gap: 26
- Latino-White Gap: 25

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress.
California Achievement on NAEP
4th Grade Math, by Race

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
California Achievement on NAEP 8th Grade Math, by Race

Proficiency Cut Point: 299

1990
Black-White Gap: 38
Latino-White Gap: 34

2015
Black-White Gap: 31
Latino-White Gap: 28

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
Results: California Achievement by Income Status
California Achievement on NAEP 4th Grade Reading, by Income Status

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress.
California Achievement on NAEP 8th Grade Reading, by Income Status

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress.
California Achievement on NAEP 4th Grade Math, by Income Status
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California Achievement on NAEP 8th Grade Math, by Income Status

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress.
Results: California Achievement by English Learner Status
California Achievement on NAEP 4th Grade Reading, by English Learner Status

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress.
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California Achievement on NAEP 8\textsuperscript{th} Grade Reading, by English Learner Status

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress.
California Achievement on NAEP 4th Grade Math, by English Learner Status

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress.
California Achievement on NAEP 8th Grade Math, by English Learner Status

Source: National Assessment Educational Progress.
Results: District Achievement

In addition to statewide results, NAEP produces results for selected urban public districts across the country through the Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) program. Within California, NAEP results are available for Fresno Unified School District, Los Angeles Unified School District, and San Diego Unified School District.
District Achievement on NAEP 4th Grade Reading

Source: National Assessment of Educational Progress. San Diego data is only available for 2003 to 2015; Fresno data is only available for 2009 to 2015.
District Achievement on NAEP 8th Grade Reading

Source: National Assessment of Educational Progress. San Diego data is only available for 2003 to 2015; Fresno data is only available for 2009 to 2015.
District Achievement on NAEP 4th Grade Math

Source: National Assessment of Educational Progress. Fresno data is only available for 2009 to 2015.
District Achievement on
NAEP 8th Grade Math

Source: National Assessment of Educational Progress. Fresno data is only available for 2009 to 2015.